



978-2025 ADDENDUM 2

FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR GRAHAM AVENUE DISTRICT THERMAL ENERGY SYSTEM

URGENT

**PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO
WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE
BID/PROPOSAL**

ISSUED: February 5, 2026
BY: Dan Iskierski
TELEPHONE NO. 204 986-5337

**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED
INTO THE BID/PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM
A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS**

Template Version: Add 2024-02-01

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid/Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 10 of Form A: Bid/Proposal may render your Bid/Proposal non-responsive.

PART B: BIDDING PROCEDURES

Replace: B9.1(a) Proposals should include:

(a) details demonstrating the history and experience of the Proponent and Subconsultants in providing programming; design, management of the project and contract administration services on three projects for the Proponent and three of similar complexity, scope and value.

With: B9.1(a) Proposals should include the details of six projects that demonstrate the history and experience of the Proponent and Subconsultants in providing programming: three projects with services in design, project management and contract administration completed by the Proponent; and three projects with services of similar complexity, scope and value to this RFP completed by either the Proponent or Subconsultant.

Clarification of B9.1 (a): Please note that this section requires that six projects be submitted that demonstrate the history and experience of the Proponent and Subconsultants. Of those six, three should demonstrate the design, project management and contract administration of projects completed by the Proponent (lead consultant). Another three should demonstrate the completion of projects of similar complexity, scope and value to this RFP for a feasibility study of a district thermal energy system along Graham Avenue. The three projects of similar complexity, scope and value could have been completed by the Proponent or the Subconsultants or a combination thereof. Whereas the first three projects that demonstrate skillsets in design, project management and contract administration must be from the Proponent and not the Subconsultants.